

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/618,970	07/14/2003	Eko N. Onggosanusi	TI-34889	5929
	590 02/01/2007 JMENTS INCORPORAT	ren	EXAM	INER
P O BOX 65547	4, M/S 3999		EJAZ, N	AHEED
DALLAS, TX 7	5265		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2611	· · · · ·
SHORTENED STATUTORY	PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVER	Y MODE
3 MON	THS	02/01/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/618,970	ONGGOSANUSI ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Naheed Ejaz	2611	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address	
Period for Reply			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DOWN THE MORE IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DOWN THE STATE IS STATE IN THE MORE IN THE MORE IS A STATE IN THE MORE IN THE MORE IS A STATE IN THE MORE IN THE	ATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 36(a). In no event, however, may a re will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT , cause the application to become ABA	ATION. ply be timely filed HS from the mailing date of this communicatio NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 D	ecember 2006		•
	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar		rs, prosecution as to the merits is	s
closed in accordance with the practice under E	•	•	
Disposition of Claims			•
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are pending in the application.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	•		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,10 and 11</u> is/are rejected.			
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2-9 & 12</u> is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	epted or b)□ objected to b	y the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyand	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct			d).
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received		
2. Certified copies of the priority document		polication No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	•		
application from the International Bureau	*	· ·	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not r	eceived.	
		,	
Attachment(s)			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		ummary (PTO-413) /Mail Date	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) 🔲 Notice of In	formal Patent Application	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)	→	

Application/Control Number: 10/618,970 Page 2

Art Unit: 2611

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 10 & 11 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Response to Amendment

Claim Objections

2. Claim 19 (page # 5, line 9) is objected to because of the following informalities: replace "Claim 19 (original)" to ---Claim 10 (original)---. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Beery et al. (5,805,613) in view of Benesty et al. (2004/0013212) (hereinafter, Beery & Benesty respectively).
- 5. Regarding claim 1, Beery discloses a method for decoding (claimed 'method of detection') that includes dividing the received symbols into a number of blocks per codeword and decoding them separately and simultaneously (see Abstract, figures 1 & 3, col.6, lines 24-61) which reads on claim limitations of having 'receiving a signal representing a set of P symbols where P is a positive integer greater than 2 (figure 1,

Application/Control Number: 10/618,970

Art Unit: 2611

elements X_1 - X_6) (b) jointly estimating a subset of P_1 symbols of said set of P symbols where P_1 is a positive integer (figure 1, elements X_1 - X_3) (c) after step (b), jointly estimating a subset of P_2 symbols of said set of P symbols where P_2 is a positive integer (figure 1, elements X_4 - X_6) and wherein said subset of P_1 symbols and said subset of P_1 symbols are members of a partition of said set of P symbols and P_1 + P_2 is greater than 2' (col.4, lines 38-63) (it is noted in the mentioned column and lines that Beery is teaching hexacode decoders which always include more than 2 symbols therefore reads on claim limitations of having 'set of P symbols and P_1 + P_2 is greater than 2').

Beery does not disclose one symbol transmitted from each of P antennas.

Benesty teaches multiple input multiple output (MIMO) communications systems (figure 1, page # 2, paragraph # 0016). Benesty is transmitting a single data stream across a wireless channel with use of a communications link comprising M transmitting antennas and N receiving antennas (figure 1, page # 2, paragraph # 0016) which reads on claim limitations of 'one symbol transmitted from each of *P* antennas'.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of invention was made, to incorporate the multiple antenna communications systems (MIMO systems) of Benesty into Beery in order to achieve high spectral efficiencies with no increase in bandwidth or transmitted power as taught by Benesty (page # 1, paragraph # 0002).

6. Claims 1 & 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Miyata et al. (6,912,684) in view of Benesty et al. (2004/0013212) (hereinafter, Miyata & Benesty respectively).

Art Unit: 2611

7. As per claim 1, Miyata teaches, 'receiving a signal representing a set of P symbols where P is a positive integer greater than 2' (figure 9, element 'Reception sequence', col.19, lines 11-14), 'jointly estimating a subset of P₁ symbols of said set of P symbols where P₁ is a positive integer' (figure 9, elements 171 & 177, col.19, lines 17-19 & 30-37), 'jointly estimating a subset of P₂ symbols of said set of P symbols where P₂ is a positive integer' (figure 9, elements 172 & 178, col.19, lines 17-22 & 38-44), 'subset of P₁ symbols and said subset of P₂ symbols are members of a partition of said set of P symbols and P₁+ P₂ is greater than 2' (figure 9, elements X, Y_a – Z'_{b,p}, col.20, lines 5-13).

Miyata does not disclose one symbol transmitted from each of P antennas.

Benesty teaches multiple input multiple output (MIMO) communications systems (figure 1, page # 2, paragraph # 0016). Benesty is transmitting a single data stream across a wireless channel with use of a communications link comprising M transmitting antennas and N receiving antennas (figure 1, page # 2, paragraph # 0016) which reads on claim limitations of 'one symbol transmitted from each of *P* antennas'.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of invention was made, to incorporate the multiple antenna communications systems (MIMO systems) of Benesty into Miyata in order to achieve high spectral efficiencies with no increase in bandwidth or transmitted power as taught by Benesty (page # 1, paragraph # 0002).

Application/Control Number: 10/618,970 Page 5

Art Unit: 2611

8. Regarding claim 11, Miyata discloses a technique of a calculation means of the soft-input and soft output decoders (col.2, lines 19-27) which reads on claim limitations of having estimation steps that include soft decision.

- 9. Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Beery et al. (5,805,613) in view of Benesty et al. (2004/0013212), as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Berrou (5,446,747).
- 10. Regarding claim 10, although Beery teaches maximum likelihood decoding (col.2, lines 4-7) for Hexacode block codes but Beery and Benesty do not explicitly disclose maximum likelihood decoding.

In the same field of endeavor, Berrou uses maximum likelihood algorithms for decoding which reads on claim limitations of having estimation steps that include maximum likelihood decision.

It would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art to implement the teachings of Berrou into Beery and Benesty in order to take account of a large number of received symbols hence increase the reliability of the decision as taught by Berrou (col.2, lines 10-14).

Allowable Subject Matter

11. Claims 2-9 & 12 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim 1, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

12. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

- Lim (5,654,986) teaches method and apparatus for decoding trellis coded
 QAM signals.
- Penther (2002/0167998) discloses channel delay spread adaptive equalization and decoding.
- Hulyalkar et al. (6,850,563) teach data slicer for combined trellis decoding and equalization.
- 13. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/618,970 Page 7

Art Unit: 2611

Contact Information

14. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Naheed Ejaz whose telephone number is 571-272-5947. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 8:00 - 4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chieh Fan can be reached on 571-272-3042. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Naheed Ejaz Examiner Art Unit 2611

N.E. 1/23/2007

> PANKAJ KUMAR PRIMARY PATENT EXAMINER

Front Phone